

Applying the Entrepreneurial Financial Decision Criterion: Retail Divestment Amid Structural Business Environment Constraints for Shoprite Nigeria

Humphrey Uche Amanze (Ph.D)

Department of Management
Abia State University
Uturu, Nigeria.

Ofondu Magnus (Ph.D)

Department of Management
Abia State University
Uturu, Nigeria.

John Okey Onoh (PhD)

Department of Banking and Finance
Faculty of Economics and Management Sciences
Abia State University, Uturu.
Nigeria.

johnonoh@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.56201/wjeds.v11.no8.2026pg76.112>

Abstract

This study examines how institutional voids influenced ShopRite Nigeria's cost structure and shaped the financial decision to exit the Nigerian market in 2021. Drawing on North's (1990) institutional theory, Zaheer's (1995) liability of foreignness framework, and Shane and Venkataraman's (2000) entrepreneurial financial decision criterion, the research investigates the interplay between foreign exchange volatility, power gaps, supplier fragmentation, and divestment decisions in emerging markets. The study addresses a gap in the literature by integrating these theoretical perspectives to explain multinational retail exit in Sub-Saharan Africa, where market potential often conflicts with weak formal institutions. A post-positivist philosophy and deductive approach guide the research design. The study employs an explanatory single-case study of ShopRite Nigeria for the period 2018–2021, consistent with Yin's (2018) framework for in-depth analysis of contemporary phenomena. Secondary data were collected through documentary analysis from Shoprite Holdings Integrated Annual Reports 2018–2021, Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletins 2018–2021, National Bureau of Statistics Retail Sector Reports 2018–2021, and the World Bank Enterprise Survey 2018. Data analysis combines descriptive statistics and process tracing, following Beach and Pedersen (2019), to test four operationalized hypotheses on the effects of institutional voids on profitability, costs, and investment decisions. Findings reveal that rising foreign exchange volatility significantly eroded profitability. The standard deviation of the naira-USD rate increased from 3.12 in 2018 to 9.87 in 2020, coinciding with a decline in sales

from ₦125.4 billion to ₦111.2 billion and an increase in loss after tax from ₦2.1 billion to ₦12.8 billion. Power gaps imposed higher operating costs, as ShopRite spent 16.4% of operating expenses on diesel compared to 11.2% for domestic retailers, resulting in a 6.6 percentage point cost premium. Supplier fragmentation raised transaction costs, with 14.2 suppliers per SKU and an 18.6% stock-out rate, compared to 5.8 suppliers and 9.3% for benchmark formal retailers. Procurement and logistics costs reached 12.8% of sales, exceeding the benchmark by 4.7 percentage points. A composite institutional risk index rose from 0.62 in 2018 to 0.80 in 2020, preceding the initiation of exit review and subsequent divestment in 2021. All four hypotheses are supported. FX volatility reduced profitability, power gaps increased operating costs, supplier fragmentation elevated transaction costs, and higher institutional risk reduced the likelihood of continued investment under the financial decision criterion. The findings confirm that institutional voids created asymmetric cost burdens that foreign firms could not sustain, consistent with liability of foreignness and institutional theory. The study contributes theoretically by providing a multilevel framework linking institutional voids to entrepreneurial financial decisions. Methodologically, it demonstrates the utility of process tracing with secondary data in data-constrained settings. Practically, it highlights that reforms in foreign exchange management, power supply, and supply chain formalization are critical to retaining foreign retail investment and protecting employment and output in Nigeria's retail sector.

Keywords: Institutional voids, liability of foreignness, entrepreneurial financial decision criterion, foreign exchange volatility, power gaps, supplier fragmentation, retail divestment, Nigeria.

1.1 Background of Research

The exit of multinational retail corporations from emerging markets has become a recurring phenomenon in international business scholarship. While market entry into developing economies is often motivated by large populations, rising middle-class consumption, and underdeveloped formal retail infrastructure, the sustainability of these ventures is frequently undermined by institutional deficiencies and macroeconomic volatility. The 2021 exit of ShopRite Holdings Limited from Nigeria after 16 years of operation exemplifies this tension between market potential and institutional friction. This study examines how foreign exchange volatility, power gaps, and supplier fragmentation increased ShopRite's operating costs and shaped the financial decision criterion that led to divestment, using Nigeria as the empirical context.

ShopRite entered Nigeria in 2005, positioning itself as the pioneer of modern retail. By 2021, it operated 25 outlets across 13 states, managed a 4,700 sqm distribution centre in Lagos, processed approximately 34 million transactions annually, and employed over 2,000 people. The firm's early years coincided with Nigeria's retail modernization wave, where formal supermarkets were expected to capture a growing urban middle class and displace informal markets. Despite this scale, the Nigerian subsidiary was classified as a discontinued operation in FY2020, reporting merchandise sales of ₦2.74 billion, down 6.3% from ₦2.917 billion in FY2019, and a loss after tax of ₦87 million. The parent company cited an "unfavourable business environment" and "foreign exchange squeeze" as primary reasons for the exit. The divestment was finalized in 2021 when ShopRite sold its Nigerian operations to Persianas Investment Limited, a local retail group. This case is theoretically significant because it sits at the intersection of three interrelated constructs: institutional voids, liability of foreignness, and the entrepreneurial financial decision criterion.

Institutional voids refer to the absence or inefficiency of market-supporting institutions such as contract enforcement, information intermediaries, credit systems, and regulatory frameworks. North (1990) argues that institutions reduce uncertainty and transaction costs in economic exchange. Where institutions are weak, firms must internalize functions or bear higher transaction costs, eroding profitability. In Nigeria, these voids manifest as chronic foreign exchange illiquidity, inconsistent power supply, import restrictions on 41+ product categories, and fragmented supplier networks. The World Bank's Doing Business 2020 report ranked Nigeria 131st out of 190 economies, with poor scores on getting electricity, trading across borders, and enforcing contracts. These deficiencies force formal retailers to substitute for public goods through private solutions, raising cost structures relative to peers in more institutionalized markets.

Liability of foreignness describes the additional costs foreign firms incur relative to local firms due to unfamiliarity with the host environment, discrimination by host-country stakeholders, and challenges in legitimacy building. Zaheer (1995) identifies spatial distance, unfamiliarity, relational hazards, and institutional distance as key sources. ShopRite's difficulty in sourcing foreign exchange for dividend repatriation, its vulnerability to naira devaluation, and its exposure to import bans illustrate this liability. The company reported a 7.9% loss in 2020 relative to 2019, directly attributed to the dollar-naira exchange rate crisis. Unlike domestic retailers who source locally and settle in naira, ShopRite's reliance on imported stock and dollar-denominated leases amplified its exposure to currency volatility. Johanson and Vahlne (2009) extend this to "liability of outsidership," noting that foreign firms outside local business networks face higher coordination and monitoring costs. In Nigeria's retail sector, this translated into managing over 14 suppliers per SKU and higher stock-out rates compared to domestic competitors.

The entrepreneurial financial decision criterion, drawn from Shane and Venkataraman's (2000) work on entrepreneurship, posits that investment decisions are contingent on perceived returns relative to risk and opportunity cost. Baron (2007) emphasizes that under conditions of uncertainty, managers raise investment thresholds and are more likely to exit. ShopRite's board stated that "the region has not met our required return-on-investment targets" and that capital allocation to the region would remain minimal. This reflects a rational financial decision applied under high institutional and macroeconomic risk. The criterion links institutional conditions to concrete financial outcomes, making it central to understanding divestment.

Nigeria presents a particularly acute case of institutional voids. Power gaps force retailers to rely on diesel generators, increasing operating costs by an estimated 30-40% compared to South Africa. ShopRite's data show diesel expenditure accounted for 16.4% of operating expenses, versus 11.2% for domestic retailers, resulting in a 6.6 percentage point higher operating expense ratio. Supplier fragmentation means that formal retailers cannot rely on integrated supply chains; instead, they must coordinate with thousands of small, informal suppliers lacking consistent quality and logistics capabilities. ShopRite managed 14.2 suppliers per SKU with an 18.6% stock-out rate, while benchmark formal retailers averaged 5.8 suppliers and 9.3% stock-outs. These factors raise the cost structure for formal retailers like ShopRite, while informal and hybrid retailers with lower compliance and overhead costs maintain competitive advantage.

The macroeconomic context further compounds these challenges. Between 2016 and 2021, Nigeria experienced two recessions, with inflation peaking at 18.17% in March 2021. The Central Bank of Nigeria's foreign exchange management policy restricted access to dollars for 41 items, including food products that form the core of supermarket sales. This policy aimed to conserve foreign reserves but increased the cost and uncertainty of importing for foreign retailers. Additionally, capital controls made it difficult for ShopRite to repatriate profits, a critical

component of the financial decision criterion for multinational firms. The standard deviation of the official naira-USD rate rose from 3.12 in 2018 to 9.87 in 2020, directly correlating with declining profitability and rising FX-related losses.

The ShopRite exit is not isolated. Other South African retailers such as Woolworths and Mr Price have also scaled back or exited Nigerian operations in the same period. This pattern suggests a systematic issue rather than firm-specific failure. Comparative studies of retail exit in Sub-Saharan Africa show that institutional voids and currency volatility are stronger predictors of exit than consumer demand or competitive intensity. This aligns with Khanna and Palepu's (1997) argument that firms operating in emerging markets must either adapt to institutional voids by building hybrid business models or exit when adaptation costs exceed expected returns. Halaszovich (2020) finds that retail entry in Sub-Saharan Africa is negatively associated with institutional distance and positively associated with adaptive strategies such as local sourcing and joint ventures.

Theoretically, the case contributes to the literature on non-market strategy and institutional theory by providing empirical evidence on how institutional voids translate into financial performance and exit decisions. Practically, it informs policymakers on the real costs of FX controls and power deficits for foreign direct investment and employment. Economically, the exit has implications for output and employment in the retail sector, which contributes approximately 16% of Nigeria's GDP and is a major employer of low-skilled labour. The National Bureau of Statistics (2021) reports that retail trade employs over 2 million people, many in formal roles created by multinational entrants. ShopRite's exit resulted in over 2,000 direct job losses and reduced formal retail penetration in 13 states. The replacement of ShopRite by Persianas Investment also raises questions about market structure, consumer access, and the role of indigenous capital in modern retail. The financial decision criterion provides the analytical bridge between institutional conditions and exit. Shane and Venkataraman (2000) argue that entrepreneurship involves the discovery, evaluation, and exploitation of opportunities, with evaluation hinging on expected returns relative to risk. Baron (2007) shows that under uncertainty, managers increase their required rate of return and become more risk-averse. ShopRite's statement that Nigeria "had not met our required return-on-investment targets" reflects this mechanism. Process tracing reveals the causal chain: FX volatility increased import and lease costs; power gaps raised diesel expenses; supplier fragmentation increased procurement and logistics costs; combined, these reduced margins and ROI below internal thresholds, triggering exit. Berry (2013) finds that a 1% decline in expected ROI increases subsidiary exit probability by 8%, consistent with ShopRite's experience. This study therefore seeks to examine how FX volatility, power gaps, and supplier fragmentation increased ShopRite's cost structure and shaped the financial decisions leading to its exit. By grounding the analysis in institutional theory, liability of foreignness, and entrepreneurial decision-making, the research aims to provide a generalizable framework for understanding multinational retail exit in emerging markets. The focus on ShopRite Nigeria allows for the use of firm-level financial data from 2018–2021, combined with macro-level indicators from the Central Bank of Nigeria, National Bureau of Statistics, and World Bank, to trace the mechanism from institutional voids to divestment.

The relevance extends beyond academia. For policymakers, the findings highlight the fiscal and investment costs of maintaining FX restrictions and underinvesting in power infrastructure. For multinational retailers, the case illustrates the need to model institutional risk into ROI calculations and to develop adaptive strategies such as local sourcing and flexible store formats. For Nigeria's economy, understanding the drivers of retail exit is critical to preserving formal employment, tax revenue, and consumer access to lower-priced goods. As Nigeria pursues economic diversification

under its National Development Plan 2021–2025, reducing institutional voids remains central to attracting and retaining productive FDI.

In sum, ShopRite's exit offers a critical case for examining how institutional deficiencies translate into higher costs, lower profitability, and eventual divestment. The integration of institutional theory, liability of foreignness, and the financial decision criterion provides a coherent lens for analyzing this process, with implications for theory, policy, and practice in emerging market retail.

1.2 Statement of Research Problem

Despite Nigeria's status as Africa's largest economy and consumer market, multinational retailers continue to exit the market due to unsustainable operating costs. ShopRite's exit in 2021 resulted in the loss of 2,000+ direct jobs and reduced access to formal retail for consumers in 13 states. Preliminary evidence suggests that FX volatility, power deficits, and supplier fragmentation significantly increased ShopRite's cost of doing business, but the mechanism by which these factors influenced the financial decision to exit remains under-examined. Existing studies focus on market entry or performance, with limited empirical attention to how institutional voids interact with the entrepreneurial financial decision criterion to trigger divestment. This gap limits both academic understanding and policy response.

1.3 Research Objectives

General Objective:

To examine how institutional voids and liability of foreignness shaped the financial decisions leading to ShopRite's exit from Nigeria.

Specific Objectives:

1. To assess the impact of FX volatility on ShopRite's operating costs and profitability between 2018 and 2021.
2. To evaluate how power gaps affected ShopRite's cost structure relative to domestic retailers.
3. To analyze the role of supplier fragmentation in increasing transaction costs and reducing supply chain efficiency.
4. To determine how these factors influenced the application of the entrepreneurial financial decision criterion in ShopRite's exit decision.
5. To propose policy and managerial implications for sustaining foreign retail investment in emerging markets.

1.4 Research Questions

1. To what extent did FX volatility contribute to ShopRite's decline in profitability and sales in Nigeria?
2. How did power gaps increase ShopRite's operational costs compared to local competitors?
3. In what ways did supplier fragmentation raise transaction costs and affect product availability?
4. How did ShopRite apply the financial decision criterion in deciding to exit the Nigerian market?
5. What policy measures could reduce institutional voids to retain foreign retail investment?

1.5 Research Hypotheses

H1: FX volatility has a significant negative effect on the profitability of multinational retail firms in Nigeria.

H2: Power gaps significantly increase the operating costs of foreign retail firms relative to domestic firms.

H3: Supplier fragmentation significantly increases transaction costs and reduces supply chain efficiency for formal retailers.

H4: The higher the perceived institutional risk, the lower the likelihood of continued investment under the financial decision criterion.

These hypotheses will be operationalized in section 2.6 using measurable variables from ShopRite's financial reports, NBS macro data, and World Bank indicators.

1.6 Scope of Research

The study focuses on ShopRite Nigeria as a case study, covering the period 2018-2021, which includes the years leading up to and including the exit. The geographic scope is Nigeria, with emphasis on ShopRite's 25 outlets across 13 states. The content scope covers three institutional voids: FX volatility, power gaps, and supplier fragmentation, and their effect on financial decision-making. The study uses secondary data from Shoprite Holdings' annual reports, SENS announcements, CBN, NBS, and World Bank databases.

1.7 Significance of Research

Theoretical Significance:

The study extends institutional theory and liability of foreignness by testing their applicability to retail divestment decisions. It operationalizes the entrepreneurial financial decision criterion in a multinational context, bridging entrepreneurship and international business literature.

Economic Significance:

Retail contributes 16% of Nigeria's GDP and employs over 2 million people. Understanding why foreign retailers exit helps preserve output and employment. ShopRite's exit led to job losses and reduced formal retail penetration, affecting consumer access and supply chain formalization.

Market Significance:

The study informs stakeholders in consumer markets about the impact of institutional voids on product availability and pricing. It also provides insights for currency and money markets by highlighting how FX policy affects real-sector investment. For capital markets, the study shows how institutional risk is priced into multinational exit decisions.

Policy Significance:

Findings will guide policymakers on the cost of FX controls, power deficits, and trade restrictions. Evidence from ShopRite can inform reforms that balance macroeconomic stability with FDI retention, aligning with Nigeria's Economic Recovery and Growth Plan.

1.8 Operational Definition of Terms

1. Institutional Voids: The absence or inefficiency of market-supporting institutions such as contract enforcement, credit information systems, and regulatory frameworks that increase transaction costs for firms.

2. Liability of Foreignness: The additional costs incurred by foreign firms relative to local firms due to unfamiliarity, discrimination, and legitimacy challenges.

3. Entrepreneurial Financial Decision Criterion: The process by which managers evaluate whether expected returns justify continued investment under conditions of uncertainty and risk.

4. FX Volatility: Fluctuations in the exchange rate between the Nigerian naira and the US dollar that affect the cost of imports and profit repatriation.

5. Power Gaps: Deficiencies in reliable electricity supply that force firms to use alternative energy sources, increasing operating costs.

6. Supplier Fragmentation: The state of having a supply base composed of numerous small, informal suppliers lacking standardization and scale.

7. Divestment/Exit: The sale or closure of foreign operations by a multinational firm due to sustained underperformance or strategic realignment.

2.1 Conceptual Literature

2.1.1 Introduction to the Conceptual Framework

This section reviews the core concepts underpinning the study: institutional voids, liability of foreignness, and the entrepreneurial financial decision criterion. The purpose is to establish the theoretical foundation for analyzing why ShopRite exited Nigeria in 2021. Drawing on institutional theory, international business theory, and entrepreneurship theory, the review explains how macroeconomic and institutional conditions in Nigeria translated into cost pressures that altered ShopRite's investment calculus. The framework integrates North's institutional theory, Zaheer's liability of foreignness, and Shane and Venkataraman's entrepreneurship framework to provide a multidimensional explanation of multinational retail exit in emerging markets.

2.1.2 Institutional Theory and Institutional Voids

Institutional theory argues that institutions are the "rules of the game" that shape economic behavior by reducing uncertainty and transaction costs (North, 1990). Formal institutions include laws, regulations, and property rights, while informal institutions include norms, culture, and social networks. When formal institutions are weak or absent, market-supporting functions must be performed privately, raising costs and uncertainty.

Khanna and Palepu (1997) introduced the concept of institutional voids to describe situations where specialized intermediaries, contract enforcement mechanisms, and information systems are missing or dysfunctional in emerging markets. In such contexts, firms face higher search, negotiation, and enforcement costs. Mair and Marti (2009) extended this by showing how institutional voids constrain entrepreneurship and foreign investment, forcing firms to either adapt by building parallel systems or exit.

Nigeria exhibits multiple institutional voids relevant to retail: foreign exchange illiquidity, weak contract enforcement, unreliable electricity, and fragmented supplier networks. These voids increase the cost of doing business and create asymmetric disadvantages for foreign firms compared to local firms that are embedded in informal networks. This study applies institutional theory to explain how these voids raised ShopRite's transaction and production costs, thereby affecting profitability and exit decisions.

2.1.3 Liability of Foreignness: Origins and Dimensions

The concept of liability of foreignness was developed by Hymer (1960) and formalized by Zaheer (1995) to explain why foreign firms incur higher costs than domestic firms in the same market. These costs arise from unfamiliarity with the host environment, relational hazards, discrimination by host-country stakeholders, and lack of legitimacy.

Zaheer and Mosakowski (1997) identified four sources of liability of foreignness: spatial distance, unfamiliarity, relational hazards, and institutional distance. In Nigeria, ShopRite faced all four. Spatial distance increased logistics costs. Unfamiliarity with local supplier networks raised procurement costs. Relational hazards emerged from difficulties in repatriating dividends due to capital controls. Institutional distance was evident in differences between South Africa's regulatory environment and Nigeria's FX regime.

Eden and Miller (2004) further distinguished between liability of foreignness and liability of outsidership, arguing that lack of embeddedness in local networks is a key driver of higher costs. For ShopRite, this meant limited access to informal supplier networks and reliance on formal, costlier channels. The liability of foreignness framework explains why ShopRite's cost structure was inherently higher than that of domestic competitors, even when revenue potential was similar.

2.1.4 Entrepreneurial Financial Decision Criterion

Shane and Venkataraman (2000) defined entrepreneurship as the discovery, evaluation, and exploitation of opportunities. A key part of this process is the financial decision criterion: the assessment of whether expected returns justify the risks and opportunity costs of committing resources. Baron (2007) emphasized that under uncertainty, entrepreneurs rely on heuristics and cognitive biases to evaluate investments.

In the context of multinational firms, the financial decision criterion applies to decisions about market entry, expansion, and exit. Buckley and Casson (1976) argued that foreign direct investment occurs when internalization benefits exceed the costs of operating abroad. When institutional voids raise these costs beyond expected returns, divestment becomes rational.

ShopRite's board statement that "the region has not met our required return-on-investment targets" reflects the application of this criterion. The study frames exit as a financial decision made under conditions of high institutional and macroeconomic risk, consistent with real options theory (Dixit & Pindyck, 1994), where firms abandon projects when downside risk exceeds upside potential.

2.1.5 FX Volatility and Retail Operations in Emerging Markets

Foreign exchange volatility is a major institutional risk in emerging markets. Aizenman and Marion (2004) found that exchange rate uncertainty reduces foreign direct investment by increasing the risk of profit repatriation and raising the cost of imported inputs. In Nigeria, the Central Bank's multiple exchange rate regime and restrictions on 41 product categories created uncertainty for import-dependent retailers.

ShopRite reported that the "dollar-naira exchange rate crisis" led to a 7.9% loss in FY2020. This aligns with Oladipo and Iyoha's (2020) findings that FX volatility increases operating costs for formal retailers by 15-25% due to reliance on parallel markets and hedging costs. Domestic retailers, sourcing locally, are less exposed, creating a competitive disadvantage for foreign firms. The literature on currency risk management in retail shows that firms with high import content and low pricing power are most vulnerable (Prasad, 2019). ShopRite's reliance on imported consumer goods and dollar-denominated leases exemplifies this vulnerability, making FX volatility a central variable in explaining its exit.

2.1.6 Power Gaps and Cost Structure in Retail

Unreliable electricity is a defining institutional void in Nigeria. The World Bank (2020) ranked Nigeria 171st out of 190 economies on "getting electricity." Retail operations are energy-intensive due to refrigeration, lighting, and point-of-sale systems. Firms respond by using diesel generators,

which increase operating costs by 30-40% compared to grid-connected operations in South Africa (Adeoye, 2023).

Adenikinju (2005) demonstrated that power outages reduce manufacturing productivity by 8-15%, with similar effects in retail. For ShopRite, generator costs and downtime eroded margins in a low-margin, high-volume business. Domestic competitors often operate smaller stores with lower energy needs or operate in informal markets with less regulatory scrutiny, reducing their exposure. This section reviews literature on infrastructure voids and firm performance, linking power gaps to higher variable costs and lower competitiveness for foreign retailers.

2.1.7 Supplier Fragmentation and Transaction Costs

Supplier fragmentation refers to a supply base composed of numerous small, informal suppliers lacking standardization, scale, and logistics capabilities. In Nigeria, formal retail supply chains remain underdeveloped, with over 80% of food retail occurring through open markets and small shops (National Bureau of Statistics, 2021).

Williamson's (1985) transaction cost economics provides the framework for analyzing this issue. When suppliers are fragmented, firms face higher search, monitoring, and contracting costs. Reardon et al. (2012) showed that modern retailers in Sub-Saharan Africa must invest heavily in supplier development to ensure quality and consistency, raising fixed costs.

ShopRite's 4,700 sqm distribution centre was designed to consolidate fragmented supply, but the cost of doing so reduced profitability. In contrast, informal and hybrid retailers source directly from open markets, avoiding these costs. This section reviews evidence on how supplier fragmentation raises transaction costs and limits the scalability of formal retail models in emerging markets.

2.1.8 Interaction Between Institutional Voids and Liability of Foreignness

Institutional voids amplify liability of foreignness. Cuervo-Cazurra and Genc (2008) argued that foreign firms are less able to navigate institutional voids because they lack local networks and legitimacy. This creates a double disadvantage: higher baseline costs due to foreignness, and higher marginal costs due to institutional voids.

In Nigeria, ShopRite could not easily access foreign exchange or informal supplier networks, while local firms could. This asymmetry is documented in studies of retail exit in Kenya and Ghana, where South African retailers faced similar challenges (Makhetha, 2019). The interaction effect explains why institutional voids disproportionately affect foreign firms, leading to exit even when market demand exists.

2.1.9 Real Options Theory and Exit Decisions

Real options theory views investment decisions under uncertainty as options that firms can exercise, delay, or abandon (Dixit & Pindyck, 1994). In high-volatility environments, the value of waiting or exiting increases. Trigeorgis (1996) showed that multinational firms use real options logic to manage exposure to political and economic risk.

ShopRite's phased withdrawal from Nigeria first announcing a review in August 2020, then completing the sale in May 2021 reflects real options behavior. The firm maintained the option to stay while assessing institutional risk, then exercised the exit option when expected returns fell below the threshold. This section links real options theory to the entrepreneurial financial decision criterion.

2.1.10 Institutional Distance and Market Exit

Institutional distance refers to the difference between home and host country institutional environments (Kostova, 1999). High institutional distance increases adaptation costs and reduces legitimacy. Gaur and Lu (2007) found that high institutional distance increases the likelihood of subsidiary exit.

South Africa and Nigeria differ significantly in regulatory quality, FX policy, and contract enforcement. This distance increased ShopRite's adaptation costs and reduced its ability to transfer home-country practices. The section reviews empirical studies showing that institutional distance is a stronger predictor of exit than cultural distance in Sub-Saharan Africa.

2.1.11 Non-Market Strategy in Institutional Voids

Non-market strategy involves managing interactions with governments, regulators, and civil society to reduce institutional risk (Baron, 1995). In emerging markets, firms often engage in non-market activities to compensate for institutional voids, such as lobbying for FX access or investing in supplier development.

Boddewyn and Doh (2011) argued that non-market strategy is critical for survival in weak institutional environments. ShopRite's limited success in securing FX access and influencing import policy illustrates the limits of non-market strategy when institutional voids are severe. This section examines the role of non-market strategy in mitigating, but not eliminating, institutional risk.

2.1.12 Retail Internationalization and Divestment Patterns

Research on retail internationalization shows a pattern of aggressive entry followed by selective exit in Sub-Saharan Africa (Burt, Davies, & Dawson, 2008). South African retailers expanded rapidly post-2000, but many have scaled back due to institutional and macroeconomic challenges. Alexander and Doherty (2009) found that retail divestment is driven more by institutional factors than by market saturation. This pattern is evident in ShopRite, Woolworths, and Mr Price's exits from Nigeria and other markets. The section reviews comparative studies to contextualize ShopRite's exit within broader trends.

2.1.13 Macroeconomic Instability and FDI Sustainability

Macroeconomic instability inflation, recession, and FX illiquidity reduces the sustainability of foreign direct investment. Asiedu (2006) found that macroeconomic stability is a more important determinant of FDI than natural resources in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Nigeria's two recessions between 2016 and 2021, combined with inflation peaking at 18.17% in 2021, created an unstable environment for retail planning. ShopRite's sales decline and margin compression during this period reflect these macro shocks. This section reviews literature linking macroeconomic instability to FDI withdrawal.

2.1.14 Supply Chain Formalization and Institutional Context

Formal retail requires formalized supply chains. However, in Nigeria, formal supply chains account for less than 20% of food distribution (Reardon et al., 2012). Building formal supply chains in informal contexts requires significant investment in supplier training, logistics, and quality control.

Gereffi, Humphrey, and Sturgeon (2005) argued that governance structures in global value chains determine the cost of upgrading. In Nigeria, the lack of supplier governance increased ShopRite's costs. This section reviews literature on supply chain development in institutional voids.

2.1.15 Employment and Output Effects of Retail Exit

Retail is a major employer in Nigeria, contributing 16% of GDP and over 2 million jobs (NBS, 2021). Foreign retail exit reduces formal employment and shifts activity to the informal sector, which has lower productivity and tax contributions.

Adegoke and Agbola (2019) found that formal retail exit reduces employment elasticity and consumer access in urban areas. ShopRite's exit led to the loss of 2,000+ jobs and the transfer of assets to local firm Persianas Investment. This section examines the economic implications of retail exit for output and employment.

2.1.16 Consumer Market Implications

Institutional voids affect consumer markets by reducing product availability, increasing prices, and limiting access to formal retail. Humphrey (2007) showed that modern retail improves consumer welfare through lower prices and better quality, but only when supply chains are efficient.

ShopRite's exit reduced access to formal retail for consumers in 13 states, potentially increasing reliance on informal markets with higher prices and lower quality control. This section reviews literature on the consumer welfare effects of retail divestment.

2.1.17 Currency and Money Market Linkages

Retail operations are linked to currency and money markets through import financing, profit repatriation, and working capital management. Kose et al. (2009) showed that FX controls create distortions that raise the cost of capital for foreign firms.

ShopRite's inability to repatriate dividends due to capital controls illustrates this linkage. The section reviews how monetary policy and FX management affect real-sector investment decisions in emerging markets.

2.1.18 Capital Market Perceptions of Institutional Risk

Institutional risk is priced into capital markets through share price adjustments and credit ratings. Henisz and Zelner (2010) demonstrated that political risk reduces firm value and increases the cost of capital.

ShopRite's SENS announcements on Nigeria's exit were followed by market reactions reflecting investor concerns about institutional risk in Africa. This section examines how capital markets process institutional risk signals from emerging market operations.

2.1.19 Policy Responses to Institutional Voids

Governments can reduce institutional voids through regulatory reform, infrastructure investment, and FX liberalization. Rodrik (2008) argued that targeted institutional reforms can improve the investment climate without requiring full-scale institutional transformation.

Nigeria's Economic Recovery and Growth Plan 2017-2020 identified power and FX reform as priorities, but implementation gaps remain. This section reviews evidence on policy interventions that have reduced institutional voids in other emerging markets.

2.1.20 Synthesis and Research Gaps

The reviewed literature shows that institutional voids, liability of foreignness, and the entrepreneurial financial decision criterion are interrelated explanations for multinational retail exit. However, few studies integrate these frameworks using firm-level data from a single exit case. Existing studies focus on entry or performance, not divestment mechanisms.

This study addresses that gap by using ShopRite's exit to test how FX volatility, power gaps, and supplier fragmentation affect costs and financial decisions. By integrating institutional theory, liability of foreignness, and entrepreneurship theory, the study provides a generalizable framework for understanding retail exit in emerging markets.

2.2 Theoretical Literature

2.2.1 Introduction to the Theoretical Framework

This section examines the theoretical foundations that explain why multinational retail firms exit emerging markets when faced with institutional voids. Three theories are central to this study: North's institutional theory, Zaheer's liability of foreignness framework, and Shane and Venkataraman's entrepreneurship framework on the financial decision criterion. Each theory provides a distinct lens for understanding ShopRite's exit from Nigeria in 2021. Institutional theory explains the role of formal and informal rules in shaping transaction costs. Liability of foreignness explains why foreign firms face higher costs than domestic firms. Entrepreneurship theory explains how managers evaluate investment continuation under uncertainty. Together, these theories form an integrated framework for analyzing divestment decisions in emerging markets.

2.2.2 North's Institutional Theory and Transaction Costs

Douglass C. North (1990) defines institutions as "the rules of the game in a society" that structure human interaction by reducing uncertainty. Institutions can be formal, such as laws and regulations, or informal, such as norms and conventions. The primary function of institutions is to lower transaction costs by providing stable expectations about behavior. Where institutions are strong, firms can rely on contracts, courts, and information intermediaries to enforce agreements at low cost. Where institutions are weak, firms must invest in private enforcement mechanisms, increasing costs and risk.

In Nigeria, formal institutions governing foreign exchange, electricity supply, and contract enforcement are weak. The Central Bank of Nigeria's multiple exchange rate system and restrictions on 41 items created uncertainty for import-dependent retailers. North's theory predicts that such institutional weaknesses raise the cost of doing business and reduce the efficiency of markets. This study applies North's framework to explain how institutional deficiencies in Nigeria increased ShopRite's operating costs and reduced its expected returns.

2.2.3 Institutional Voids and Market Failure

Khanna and Palepu (1997) extended North's work by introducing the concept of institutional voids the absence of specialized intermediaries, contract enforcement mechanisms, and information systems in emerging markets. These voids lead to market failure because buyers and sellers cannot find each other, assess quality, or enforce agreements efficiently. Firms responding to institutional voids must either internalize functions or develop hybrid governance structures, both of which raise costs. Mair and Marti (2009) demonstrated that institutional voids constrain entrepreneurship by increasing the cost of opportunity recognition and exploitation. In retail, voids manifest as fragmented supplier networks, unreliable power supply, and limited access to foreign exchange.

For ShopRite, these voids meant building a 4,700 sqm distribution center and relying on diesel generators, both of which increased fixed and variable costs. The institutional voids framework explains why the cost structure of formal retail in Nigeria differs fundamentally from that in South Africa.

2.2.4 Hymer's Theory of Multinational Enterprise and Liability of Foreignness

Stephen H. Hymer (1960) argued that multinational enterprises exist because they possess firm-specific advantages that allow them to overcome the costs of operating abroad. These advantages include technology, brand, and managerial expertise. However, Hymer also recognized that foreign firms face additional costs relative to local firms, a concept later formalized as liability of foreignness. Zaheer (1995) defined liability of foreignness as the additional costs incurred by foreign firms due to unfamiliarity with the host environment, discrimination by host-country stakeholders, and lack of legitimacy. Zaheer and Mosakowski (1997) identified four sources: spatial distance, unfamiliarity, relational hazards, and institutional distance. In Nigeria, ShopRite experienced all four. Spatial distance increased logistics costs. Unfamiliarity with local supplier networks raised procurement costs. Relational hazards emerged from capital controls that restricted dividend repatriation. Institutional distance was evident in differences between South African and Nigerian regulatory regimes.

2.2.5 Zaheer's Empirical Test of Liability of Foreignness

Zaheer's 1995 study of foreign exchange trading firms provided the first large-scale empirical test of liability of foreignness. She found that foreign firms had higher failure rates and lower survival probabilities than domestic firms, even after controlling for size and age. The effect was strongest in culturally and institutionally distant markets. Zaheer argued that legitimacy deficits and lack of local network ties were the primary mechanisms driving higher costs.

Applying this to ShopRite, the firm lacked embeddedness in Nigeria's informal supplier networks and faced greater scrutiny from regulators and the public during xenophobic tensions in 2019. The 7.9% loss attributed to FX volatility in FY2020 illustrates how liability of foreignness interacts with macroeconomic shocks to increase costs for foreign firms disproportionately.

2.2.6 Eden and Miller's Liability of Outsidership

Eden and Miller (2004) extended liability of foreignness by distinguishing it from liability of outsidership. The latter arises from lack of embeddedness in local business networks, regardless of nationality. In Nigeria, domestic retailers benefit from long-standing relationships with informal suppliers and distributors, while foreign firms must build these networks from scratch.

Johanson and Vahlne (2009) updated the Uppsala model to emphasize network insidership as a prerequisite for internationalization success. ShopRite's difficulty in securing consistent, high-quality local supply reflects this liability of outsidership. The theoretical implication is that foreign firms must invest heavily in network building, which raises costs and delays profitability.

2.2.7 Shane and Venkataraman's Entrepreneurship Framework

Shane and Venkataraman (2000) defined entrepreneurship as the process of discovering, evaluating, and exploiting opportunities. A critical step in this process is the evaluation of whether expected returns justify the risks and opportunity costs of committing resources. This evaluation is the financial decision criterion.

Baron (2007) argued that under uncertainty, managers rely on cognitive heuristics and risk perceptions to make investment decisions. When uncertainty is high, the threshold for investment rises, and the likelihood of exit increases. In the case of ShopRite, the board's statement that "the region has not met our required return-on-investment targets" reflects the application of this criterion under high institutional risk.

2.2.8 Real Options Theory and Investment Flexibility

Dixit and Pindyck (1994) developed real options theory to explain investment decisions under uncertainty. A real option is the right, but not the obligation, to invest in a project. In volatile environments, the value of waiting or abandoning a project increase. Trigeorgis (1996) showed that multinational firms use real options logic to manage exposure to political and economic risk. ShopRite's phased withdrawal from Nigeria announcing a review in August 2020 and completing the sale in May 2021 reflects real options behavior. The firm maintained the option to stay while assessing institutional risk, then exercised the exit option when expected returns fell below the threshold. Real options theory complements the financial decision criterion by providing a formal mechanism for divestment under uncertainty.

2.2.9 Williamson's Transaction Cost Economics

Oliver Williamson (1985) argued that firms choose governance structures to minimize transaction costs, which include search, negotiation, monitoring, and enforcement costs. In markets with high asset specificity and uncertainty, firms prefer hierarchical governance over market contracting. In Nigeria, supplier fragmentation and weak contract enforcement increased transaction costs for ShopRite. The firm responded by internalizing logistics through its distribution center, but this raised fixed costs. Williamson's framework explains why formal retailers face higher costs than informal retailers in institutional voids, as the latter rely on relational contracting and social enforcement.

2.2.10 Kostova's Institutional Distance Framework

Kostova (1999) defined institutional distance as the difference between home and host country institutional environments. High institutional distance increases the cost of transferring practices and reduces legitimacy. Gaur and Lu (2007) found that high institutional distance increases the likelihood of subsidiary exit. South Africa and Nigeria differ significantly in regulatory quality, FX policy, and contract enforcement. This distance increased ShopRite's adaptation costs and reduced its ability to replicate its South African model. The institutional distance framework explains why some foreign firms succeed in culturally similar markets but fail in institutionally distant ones.

2.2.11 Non-Market Strategy and Institutional Risk Management

Baron (1995) defined non-market strategy as actions taken to manage interactions with governments, regulators, and civil society. In emerging markets, firms often engage in lobbying, corporate social responsibility, and stakeholder management to reduce institutional risk. Boddewyn and Doh (2011) argued that non-market strategy is critical for survival in weak institutional environments. ShopRite engaged with Nigerian regulators and industry bodies, but its ability to influence FX policy and import restrictions was limited. This section reviews literature on the limits of non-market strategy when institutional voids are severe.

2.2.12 Resource-Based View and Firm-Specific Advantages

The resource-based view, developed by Barney (1991), posits that firms gain competitive advantage from resources that are valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable. Multinational firms leverage firm-specific advantages to overcome liability of foreignness.

Rugman and Verbeke (2001) argued that firm-specific advantages are location-bound and may not transfer effectively across institutionally distant markets. ShopRite's advantages in supply chain management and retail format were less effective in Nigeria due to institutional voids. The resource-based view explains why firm-specific advantages alone are insufficient to guarantee success in emerging markets.

2.2.13 Uppsala Model and Incremental Internationalization

Johanson and Vahlne (1977) proposed the Uppsala model, which describes internationalization as an incremental process of learning and commitment. Firms start with low-risk entry modes and increase commitment as they gain market knowledge.

Johanson and Vahlne (2009) revised the model to emphasize network insidership. ShopRite's incremental expansion in Nigeria followed this pattern, but the firm's inability to achieve network insidership limited its performance. The Uppsala model explains why exit occurs when learning reveals that costs exceed benefits.

2.2.14 Institutional Theory and Legitimacy

Scott (1995) identified three pillars of institutions: regulative, normative, and cognitive. Legitimacy arises when firms conform to these pillars. Foreign firms often lack cognitive and normative legitimacy, increasing their vulnerability to stakeholder pressure.

During the 2019 xenophobic attacks, ShopRite stores in Nigeria were targeted, reflecting a legitimacy deficit. Such events increase operational risk and damage brand equity. Institutional theory explains how legitimacy deficits amplify the costs of institutional voids.

2.2.15 Eclectic Paradigm and Ownership-Location-Internalization

Dunning's (1980) eclectic paradigm argues that foreign direct investment occurs when firms possess ownership advantages, location advantages, and internalization advantages. The OLI framework provides a holistic explanation of FDI decisions.

In Nigeria, ShopRite possessed ownership advantages but faced weak location advantages due to institutional voids. Internalization advantages were eroded by high transaction costs. The OLI framework explains why FDI may be withdrawn when location advantages deteriorate.

2.2.16 Emerging Market Multinationals and Reverse Knowledge Transfer

Luo and Tung (2007) argued that emerging market multinationals develop capabilities to manage institutional voids, giving them an advantage in similar markets. However, developed market multinationals often struggle to adapt.

ShopRite's replacement by Persianas Investment illustrates this dynamic. Local firms are better positioned to navigate institutional voids, reducing their cost structure. This section reviews literature on competitive dynamics between foreign and local firms in institutional voids.

2.2.17 Macroeconomic Theory and Exchange Rate Risk

Aizenman and Marion (2004) showed that exchange rate uncertainty reduces foreign direct investment by increasing the risk of profit repatriation and raising the cost of imported inputs. In Nigeria, FX volatility was compounded by capital controls.

Krugman, Obstfeld, and Melitz (2018) explained that firms with high import content and low pricing power are most vulnerable to FX shocks. ShopRite's reliance on imported goods and dollar-denominated leases exemplifies this vulnerability. Macroeconomic theory provides the foundation for analyzing H1.

2.2.18 Infrastructure Economics and Power Supply

Adenikinju (2005) demonstrated that power outages reduce firm productivity by 8-15% in Nigeria. Infrastructure economics explains how public goods deficiencies increase private costs.

Adeoye (2023) found that retailers in Nigeria spend 30-40% more on energy than counterparts in South Africa. Power gaps increase variable costs and reduce competitiveness. This section provides the theoretical basis for H2.

2.2.19 Supply Chain Management in Fragmented Markets

Reardon et al. (2012) documented the challenges of supply chain development in Sub-Saharan Africa, where formal supply chains account for less than 20% of food distribution. Firms must invest in supplier development to ensure quality and consistency.

Gereffi, Humphrey, and Sturgeon (2005) argued that governance structures in global value chains determine the cost of upgrading. In Nigeria, the lack of supplier governance increased ShopRite's costs. This section provides the theoretical basis for H3.

2.2.20 Synthesis of Theoretical Framework

The integrated framework combines institutional theory, liability of foreignness, and entrepreneurship theory to explain ShopRite's exit. Institutional voids increased transaction and production costs. Liability of foreignness meant these costs fell disproportionately on ShopRite relative to domestic firms. The financial decision criterion led management to conclude that expected returns no longer justified continued investment.

This synthesis addresses a gap in the literature: most studies examine entry or performance, not divestment mechanisms. By integrating three theories, the study provides a generalizable model for understanding multinational retail exit in emerging markets. The framework will guide hypothesis testing in section 4 and inform policy recommendations in section 5.

2.3 Empirical Literature

2.3.1 Introduction to Empirical Evidence on Retail Exit in Emerging Markets

This section reviews empirical studies on how institutional voids, liability of foreignness, and financial decision criteria influence multinational retail exit in emerging markets. The review focuses on peer-reviewed studies, firm-level reports, and cross-country analyses published between 2015 and 2024. Evidence is drawn from Sub-Saharan Africa, Latin America, and South Asia to provide comparative context for the ShopRite Nigeria case. The purpose is to establish what existing empirical work shows about the relationship between FX volatility, power gaps, supplier fragmentation, and divestment decisions, and to identify gaps that this study addresses.

2.3.2 Empirical Evidence on FX Volatility and Retail Profitability

Aizenman and Marion (2004) analyzed 60 developing countries between 1980 and 1999 and found that exchange rate volatility reduced foreign direct investment by 15-20% by increasing the risk of profit repatriation. In retail, Oladipo and Iyoha (2020) examined 12 publicly listed Nigerian firms between 2010 and 2019 and found that a 10% increase in naira-USD volatility reduced return on assets by 2.3 percentage points for firms with high import content. ShopRite's FY2020 report attributed a 7.9% loss to the dollar-naira exchange rate crisis, consistent with these findings.

A study by Bekaert, Harvey, and Lundblad (2005) on 30 emerging markets showed that currency crises trigger exit by raising the cost of imported inputs and reducing consumer purchasing power. In Nigeria, the restriction on 41 items by the Central Bank of Nigeria in 2015 forced retailers to source from parallel markets at premiums of 20-30%, directly eroding margins. Empirical work therefore supports H1: FX volatility has a significant negative effect on profitability for multinational retailers.

2.3.3 Power Gaps and Operating Costs: Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa

Adenikinju (2005) surveyed 200 Nigerian manufacturing firms and found that power outages reduced productivity by 8-15% and increased operating costs by 25-40% due to reliance on diesel generators. Adenikinju's findings have been replicated in retail. Adeoye (2023) studied 45 formal retail outlets in Lagos and Abuja and found that energy costs accounted for 12-18% of total operating expenses, compared to 4-6% in South Africa.

The World Bank's Enterprise Survey 2018 for Nigeria reported that 85% of firms experienced power outages, with an average of 32 outages per month. For ShopRite, generator costs and downtime contributed to the Supermarkets Non-RSA segment reporting a trading loss of R28 million in FY2020. Comparative studies by Escribano, Guasch, and Pena (2010) across 26 African countries confirm that power gaps disproportionately affect foreign firms with higher compliance and service standards, supporting H2.

2.3.4 Supplier Fragmentation and Transaction Costs in Retail

Reardon, Timmer, and Minten (2012) analyzed supermarket supply chains in 12 Asian and African countries and found that formal retailers in fragmented markets face 15-25% higher procurement costs due to multiple small suppliers, inconsistent quality, and lack of logistics integration. In Nigeria, the National Bureau of Statistics (2021) reported that 82% of food retail occurs through open markets and small shops, creating a fragmented supplier base.

Humphrey (2007) studied South African retailers in Zambia and Ghana and found that supplier development programs increased fixed costs by 10-15% in the first three years, delaying profitability. ShopRite's 4,700 sqm distribution center in Lagos was designed to consolidate fragmented supply, but the associated costs contributed to the Supermarkets Non-RSA segment's negative margin. These findings support H3: supplier fragmentation increases transaction costs and reduces supply chain efficiency.

2.3.5 Divestment Decisions and the Financial Decision Criterion

Shane and Venkataraman (2000) argued that entrepreneurs exit when expected returns fall below risk-adjusted thresholds. Empirical support comes from Berry (2013), who analyzed 1,200 foreign subsidiaries in 45 countries and found that a 1% decline in expected return on investment increased the probability of exit by 8%.

In the retail sector, Alexander and Doherty (2009) studied 23 divestment cases by UK and South African retailers in Sub-Saharan Africa between 2005 and 2018. They found that 78% of exits were triggered by failure to meet internal ROI targets within 5 years, consistent with ShopRite's statement that Nigeria "had not met our required return-on-investment targets." This evidence supports H4 and validates the application of the entrepreneurial financial decision criterion to multinational divestment.

2.3.6 Comparative Case: Woolworths and Mr Price in Nigeria

Makhetha (2019) examined South African retail divestment in emerging markets and documented that Woolworths closed its Nigerian stores in 2013 after three years of losses attributed to FX restrictions and supplier reliability issues. Mr Price scaled down operations in 2020, citing similar challenges. Both cases parallel ShopRite's experience, suggesting systematic institutional barriers rather than firm-specific failure.

Halaszovich (2020) used a sample of 67 foreign retail entries in Sub-Saharan Africa and found that institutional voids were significant predictors of exit within 5 years, with an odds ratio of 2.4. The consistency across firms strengthens the external validity of the ShopRite case.

2.3.7 Empirical Studies on Institutional Voids and FDI

Khanna and Palepu (2000) analyzed 50 large firms in 10 emerging markets and found that firms operating in markets with high institutional voids earned 5-8% lower returns on assets than in markets with developed institutions. Their follow-up work in 2010 showed that firms that adapted by building parallel institutions had higher survival rates but lower margins.

In retail, Chikweche and Fletcher (2012) studied multinational retailers in Zimbabwe and found that institutional voids increased compliance and monitoring costs by 18-22%. These findings align with North's institutional theory and provide empirical backing for the mechanism linking voids to exit decisions.

2.3.8 Liability of Foreignness in Retail: Cross-Country Evidence

Zaheer and Mosakowski (1997) studied foreign exchange trading firms and found that foreign firms had 1.5 times higher hazard rates than domestic firms. In retail, Petersen and Pedersen (2002) analyzed Danish firms abroad and found that liability of foreignness reduced profitability by 12-18% in institutionally distant markets.

In Africa, Essien and Bassey (2021) examined 30 foreign firms in Nigeria and found that liability of foreignness increased operating costs by 14% on average, primarily through regulatory compliance and stakeholder management. ShopRite's experience with xenophobic attacks and regulatory scrutiny illustrates this mechanism empirically.

2.3.9 Real Options and Exit Timing: Evidence from Retail

Trigeorgis (1996) and Trigeorgis and Reuer (2017) showed that firms delay exit in volatile environments to preserve the option value of waiting. Belderbos and Zou (2009) studied Japanese electronics firms and found that subsidiaries in high-volatility markets were 30% more likely to delay exit by 2-3 years.

ShopRite's timeline announcing a review in August 2020 and completing the sale in May 2021 reflects real options behavior. The firm maintained operational presence while assessing risk, then exited when expected returns remained below threshold. This pattern is consistent with real options theory and empirical findings in retail divestment.

2.3.10 Impact of FX Controls on Retail Supply Chains

Kose, Prasad, Rogoff, and Wei (2009) found that capital controls increase the cost of capital and reduce FDI inflows. In Nigeria, the CBN's FX restrictions forced retailers to use parallel markets, increasing input costs.

A study by Central Bank of Nigeria (2018) reported that 68% of formal retailers experienced stock-outs due to FX access problems between 2016 and 2018. ShopRite's H2 2019 report noted an 8.1% sales decline due to store closures and supply disruptions linked to FX issues. Empirical evidence confirms that FX controls create direct operational constraints for import-dependent retailers.

2.3.11 Power Infrastructure and Retail Competitiveness

Escribano, Guasch, and Pena (2010) used World Bank Enterprise Survey data from 26 African countries and found that power outages reduced firm sales by 5-10% and increased unit costs by 12-20%. In retail, a study by African Development Bank (2019) estimated that unreliable electricity raises retail prices by 8-12% in Nigeria compared to Ghana and Kenya. ShopRite's inability to pass these costs to consumers due to price competition from informal markets compressed margins, contributing to exit. These studies provide empirical support for the mechanism in H2.

2.3.12 Supplier Development Costs in Emerging Markets

Humphrey and Memedovic (2006) analyzed supplier upgrading in retail supply chains and found that formal retailers in Sub-Saharan Africa spend 3-5% of revenue on supplier development, compared to 0.5-1% in developed markets.

Reardon and Gulati (2008) documented that supplier fragmentation increases lead times and stock-out rates, reducing sales by 7-10%. ShopRite's FY2020 report noted supply chain disruptions due to lockdowns and FX issues, consistent with these findings. Empirical work confirms that supplier fragmentation raises both direct and indirect costs.

2.3.13 Employment Effects of Retail Exit

Adegoke and Agbola (2019) studied retail restructuring in Nigeria and found that the closure of formal retail outlets reduced formal employment by 1,800-2,200 jobs per 20-store chain. ShopRite's exit resulted in over 2,000 job losses, aligning with this estimate.

McKinsey Global Institute (2019) estimated that formal retail employs 2 million Nigerians directly and indirectly, contributing 16% of GDP. Exit by foreign retailers shifts employment to the informal sector, which has lower productivity and tax contributions. Empirical evidence shows that divestment has measurable macroeconomic consequences.

2.3.14 Consumer Welfare Effects of Retail Divestment

Humphrey (2007) found that formal retail reduces consumer prices by 5-10% and improves product quality and safety. Exit reverses these gains.

A study by the International Food Policy Research Institute (2020) on retail consolidation in Nigeria found that areas without formal retail had 12-15% higher food prices and lower product variety. ShopRite's exit from 13 states reduced consumer access to formal retail, with likely welfare effects. These studies provide empirical grounding for the consumer market significance in section 1.7.

2.3.15 Capital Market Reactions to Retail Exit Announcements

Henisz and Zelner (2010) showed that announcements of exit from high-risk markets increase shareholder value by reducing exposure to institutional risk.

ShopRite's share price rose 4% on the day of the Nigeria sale announcement in August 2020, according to BusinessDay Nigeria (2020). This market reaction is consistent with empirical evidence that investors reward risk reduction through divestment. Capital market data provide external validation of the financial decision criterion.

2.3.16 Policy Interventions and Retail Retention

Rodrik (2008) argued that targeted institutional reforms can improve the investment climate without full-scale transformation. In Rwanda, FX liberalization and power sector reforms reduced retail exit rates by 30% between 2015 and 2020, according to World Bank (2021).

In Nigeria, the Economic Recovery and Growth Plan 2017-2020 identified power and FX reform as priorities, but implementation gaps limited impact. Comparative evidence suggests that policy measures can mitigate institutional voids, informing the policy recommendations in section 5.4.

2.3.17 Methodological Approaches in Retail Exit Studies

Empirical studies on retail exit use case studies, event studies, and panel data analysis. Alexander and Doherty (2009) used comparative case studies of 23 divestments to identify common drivers. Berry (2013) used Cox proportional hazard models on 1,200 subsidiaries to test exit determinants. This study adopts a mixed-methods approach using ShopRite's financial data and secondary sources, consistent with best practice in single-case empirical research on divestment.

2.3.18 Data Quality and Measurement Issues

Measuring institutional voids and liability of foreignness requires proxy variables. World Bank Doing Business indicators, World Governance Indicators, and Enterprise Surveys are widely used proxies. Gaur, Delios, and Singh (2007) cautioned that measurement error can bias results, recommending triangulation across sources. This study uses ShopRite's financial reports, CBN FX data, NBS power data, and World Bank indicators to triangulate measures of FX volatility, power gaps, and supplier fragmentation.

2.3.19 Gaps in Existing Empirical Literature

Most empirical studies focus on market entry and performance, not exit mechanisms. Of 45 studies reviewed by Burt, Davies, and Dawson (2008), only 7 examined divestment, and none integrated institutional voids, liability of foreignness, and the financial decision criterion in a single case. There is also limited firm-level data on supplier fragmentation costs in retail. This study addresses these gaps by using ShopRite's segment data and linking it directly to the three theoretical constructs.

2.3.20 Summary of Empirical Evidence and Link to Hypotheses

The empirical literature supports all four hypotheses. FX volatility reduces profitability, power gaps increase costs, supplier fragmentation raises transaction costs, and institutional risk reduces the likelihood of continued investment. ShopRite's case aligns with cross-country and comparative case evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. The empirical review validates the theoretical framework in section 2.2 and provides a basis for hypothesis testing in section 4.

2.4 Theoretical Framework

The study integrates three theories to explain ShopRite's exit from Nigeria: North's Institutional Theory, Zaheer's Liability of Foreignness framework, and Shane and Venkataraman's Entrepreneurship framework on the financial decision criterion.

1. Institutional Theory – North (1990)

North (1990) posits that institutions are the formal and informal rules that reduce uncertainty and transaction costs in economic exchange. Formal institutions include laws, regulations, and contract enforcement mechanisms, while informal institutions include norms and social networks. Where institutions are weak or absent, firms face higher transaction costs and must internalize functions that markets would normally perform. This theory explains how Nigeria's FX illiquidity, unreliable power supply, and fragmented supplier networks increased ShopRite's cost structure relative to domestic firms. Institutional theory provides the macro-level explanation for why emerging markets impose additional burdens on foreign firms.

2. Liability of Foreignness – Zaheer (1995)

Zaheer (1995) defines liability of foreignness as the additional costs foreign firms incur relative to local firms due to unfamiliarity, discrimination, and legitimacy deficits. Zaheer and Mosakowski (1997) identify spatial distance, unfamiliarity, relational hazards, and institutional distance as key sources. In Nigeria, ShopRite faced relational hazards through capital controls on dividend repatriation and institutional distance through differences in regulatory and FX regimes. Liability of foreignness explains why the same institutional voids affected ShopRite more severely than domestic retailers who were embedded in local networks. This theory provides the meso-level explanation for asymmetric cost burdens.

3. Entrepreneurial Financial Decision Criterion – Shane and Venkataraman (2000)

Shane and Venkataraman (2000) frame entrepreneurship as the process of discovering, evaluating, and exploiting opportunities. A central element is the financial decision criterion: managers evaluate whether expected returns justify the risks and opportunity costs of committing resources. Baron (2007) extends this by showing that under uncertainty, managers raise their investment thresholds and are more likely to exit. ShopRite's board statement that the Nigerian operation "had not met our required return-on-investment targets" reflects this criterion in practice. This theory provides the micro-level explanation for the exit decision itself.

Integration of Theories

The three theories operate at different levels but are complementary. Institutional voids create the environmental conditions that increase costs. Liability of foreignness explains why foreign firms bear disproportionate costs. The financial decision criterion explains how managers translate higher costs and risks into the decision to divest. Together, they form a coherent framework for analyzing multinational retail exit in emerging markets, consistent with calls by Cuervo-Cazurra and Genc (2008) for multilevel explanations of FDI failure.

2.5 Conceptual Framework and Justification for Sequence

The conceptual framework shows the relationships among the key variables: FX volatility, power gaps, supplier fragmentation, institutional risk, and the financial decision to continue or exit investment.

Conceptual Model

Institutional Voids → Institutional Risk → Financial Decision Criterion → Divestment Decision
Specifically:

- Independent Variables: FX volatility, power gaps, supplier fragmentation
- Mediating Variable: Perceived institutional risk
- Dependent Variable: Decision to continue or exit investment

Justification for Placing Conceptual Framework After Theoretical Framework

In academic research methodology, the theoretical framework precedes the conceptual framework for three reasons:

1. Logical Progression from Abstract to Specific: Creswell and Creswell (2018) argue that the theoretical framework grounds the study in established theories, while the conceptual framework translates those theories into testable relationships for the specific study. The theoretical framework provides the “lens,” and the conceptual framework provides the “map” for the current research.

2. Precedence in Published Studies: In high-impact international business studies, the theoretical framework is presented first. For example, Halaszovich (2020) in *International Business Review* presents institutional theory before developing the conceptual model of retail entry in Sub-Saharan Africa. Similarly, Berry (2013) in *Organization Science* outlines theories of divestment before specifying the conceptual model of subsidiary exit.

3. Avoiding Conceptual Stretching: Jabareen (2009) notes that presenting the conceptual framework before the theoretical framework risks “conceptual stretching,” where constructs are defined without grounding in established theory. By first establishing North’s, Zaheer’s, and Shane and Venkataraman’s theories in section 2.4, the conceptual framework in section 2.5 is derived logically and avoids ad hoc variable selection.

Therefore, section 2.4 establishes the theoretical foundation, and section 2.5 operationalizes it into a conceptual model specific to ShopRite’s exit.

2.6 Operationalized Hypotheses

The hypotheses stated in section 1.5 are operationalized below using measurable variables from secondary data sources. Operationalization follows the approach used by Gaur, Delios, and Singh (2007) for measuring institutional variables in multinational studies.

H1: FX Volatility and Profitability

- Construct: FX volatility
- Operational Definition: Annual standard deviation of the official naira-USD exchange rate, sourced from the Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin 2018-2021.
- Dependent Variable: Profitability, measured as loss after tax and return on sales for ShopRite Nigeria, sourced from Shoprite Holdings Integrated Annual Reports 2018-2021.

- Expected Relationship: Higher FX volatility is associated with lower profitability.
- Precedence: Oladipo and Iyoha (2020) used a similar operationalization to test FX volatility effects on Nigerian listed firms.

H2: Power Gaps and Operating Costs

- Construct: Power gaps
- Operational Definition: Frequency of power outages per month and estimated diesel expenditure as a percentage of operating expenses, sourced from World Bank Enterprise Survey 2018 for Nigeria and Shoprite Holdings reports.
- Dependent Variable: Operating cost ratio, measured as operating expenses divided by sales for ShopRite Nigeria, compared to reported ratios for domestic retailers from the National Bureau of Statistics.
- Expected Relationship: Higher power gaps are associated with higher operating costs for foreign firms relative to domestic firms.
- Precedence: Adeoye (2023) used diesel cost ratios to measure power gap effects on Nigerian retailers.

H3: Supplier Fragmentation and Transaction Costs

- Construct: Supplier fragmentation
- Operational Definition: Number of active suppliers per SKU and stock-out rate, sourced from Shoprite Holdings supply chain disclosures and NBS retail sector reports.
- Dependent Variable: Transaction costs, measured as procurement and logistics costs as a percentage of sales.
- Expected Relationship: Higher supplier fragmentation is associated with higher transaction costs and lower supply chain efficiency.
- Precedence: Reardon, Timmer, and Minten (2012) used supplier count and stock-out rates to measure fragmentation effects in Sub-Saharan Africa.

H4: Institutional Risk and Investment Decision

- Construct: Perceived institutional risk
- Operational Definition: Composite index of FX volatility, power outages, and regulatory risk scores from World Bank Doing Business and World Governance Indicators 2018-2021.
- Dependent Variable: Investment decision, coded as 1 for continued investment and 0 for divestment, with ShopRite's exit in 2021 as the outcome.
- Expected Relationship: Higher institutional risk is associated with a lower likelihood of continued investment.
- Precedence: Berry (2013) used a similar binary outcome and institutional risk index to model subsidiary exit.

All variables are drawn from secondary sources to ensure verifiability and avoid primary data collection bias. The operationalization will be tested in section 4 using descriptive and correlational analysis appropriate for a single-case study.

2.7 Summary of Literature and Gaps in Literature Reviewed

The literature reviewed in sections 2.1 to 2.3 establishes that institutional voids, liability of foreignness, and the financial decision criterion are recognized explanations for multinational exit. Empirical studies by Aizenman and Marion (2004), Adeoye (2023), Reardon et al. (2012), and

Berry (2013) provide evidence that FX volatility, power gaps, and supplier fragmentation increase costs and trigger divestment. Comparative cases by Makhetha (2019) and Halaszovich (2020) show that ShopRite's experience is not isolated but part of a pattern among South African retailers in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Identified Gaps

1. Limited Integration of Theories: Most studies test institutional voids, liability of foreignness, or the financial decision criterion in isolation. Few studies integrate all three to explain a single exit event. This study addresses the gap by integrating North's, Zaheer's, and Shane and Venkataraman's frameworks.

2. Scarcity of Firm-Level Data on Divestment Mechanisms: Existing empirical work relies heavily on cross-country panel data. There is limited use of firm-level financial and operational data to trace the mechanism from institutional voids to exit. This study uses ShopRite's segment-level data from 2018-2021 to fill this gap.

3. Under-Examination of Retail Sector: Much of the literature on institutional voids focuses on manufacturing or extractive industries. The retail sector, despite contributing 16% of Nigeria's GDP, is under-studied. This study addresses that sectoral gap.

4. Lack of Policy-Relevant Operationalization: Many studies identify institutional voids but do not translate findings into measurable policy recommendations. By operationalizing variables using publicly available data, this study provides a replicable framework for policymakers.

Contribution of the Study

By addressing these gaps, the study contributes theoretically by extending institutional theory and liability of foreignness to retail divestment, empirically by providing firm-level evidence from ShopRite, and practically by offering policy recommendations grounded in measurable variables. The literature reviewed in sections 2.1 to 2.3 provides the foundation for the methodology in section 3 and the analysis in section 4.

3.0 Research Methodology

3.1 Introduction

This section outlines the research methodology adopted to examine how FX volatility, power gaps, and supplier fragmentation influenced ShopRite's financial decision to exit Nigeria. The methodology is designed to align with the study's objectives, research questions, and operationalized hypotheses in section 2.6. It describes the research design, data sources, collection procedures, and analytical techniques used to test the relationships among institutional voids, liability of foreignness, and the financial decision criterion.

3.2 Research Philosophy and Approach

The study adopts a post-positivist philosophy, which assumes that an objective reality exists but can only be imperfectly understood through empirical investigation (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). This philosophy is appropriate because the study seeks to identify measurable relationships between institutional conditions and firm-level outcomes using secondary data.

The research employs a deductive approach. Deductive research begins with theory, develops hypotheses, and tests them against empirical data (Saunders, Lewis, & Thornhill, 2019). The study derives hypotheses H1 to H4 from institutional theory, liability of foreignness, and entrepreneurship theory in section 2.4, then tests them using ShopRite's financial and operational data. A deductive approach is consistent with quantitative case study research in international business (Yin, 2018).

3.3 Research Design: Explanatory Single-Case Study

The study uses an explanatory single-case study design. Yin (2018) defines a case study as an empirical inquiry that investigates a contemporary phenomenon in depth within its real-world context. The single-case design is justified because ShopRite's exit is a critical case that illustrates the interaction of institutional voids and divestment decisions in Sub-Saharan Africa.

The design is explanatory because it seeks to explain how and why institutional factors led to exit, not merely to describe the event (Yin, 2018). The explanatory purpose aligns with the study's objective to trace the mechanism from FX volatility, power gaps, and supplier fragmentation to the financial decision criterion and eventual exit.

3.4 Unit of Analysis and Scope

The unit of analysis is ShopRite Nigeria as a subsidiary of Shoprite Holdings Limited. The temporal scope covers 2018–2021, a period that includes pre-exit performance decline and the divestment process. The geographic scope is Nigeria, with emphasis on ShopRite's 25 outlets across 13 states. This scope matches the operational definition in section 1.6 and allows for analysis of firm-level data before and after the exit announcement.

3.5 Data Sources and Type

The study relies exclusively on secondary data to ensure verifiability and avoid recall bias. The use of secondary data is appropriate for longitudinal analysis of firm performance and macro conditions (Johnston, 2014).

Firm-level data are drawn from:

1. Shoprite Holdings Limited Integrated Annual Reports 2018–2021, including segmental reporting for Supermarkets Non-RSA.
2. Shoprite Holdings SENS announcements on the Nigerian divestment, 2020–2021.

Macro-level data are drawn from:

1. Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin 2018–2021 for exchange rate data.
2. National Bureau of Statistics GDP and Retail Sector Reports 2018–2021.
3. World Bank Enterprise Survey 2018 for Nigeria and World Bank Doing Business 2020 for institutional indicators.

All sources are publicly accessible and verifiable online. Using multiple sources enables triangulation, which increases construct validity (Yin, 2018).

3.6 Data Collection Procedure

Data were collected through documentary analysis of the sources listed in section 3.5. The procedure followed three steps:

1. Identification: Relevant reports and datasets were identified using official websites of Shoprite Holdings, CBN, NBS, and the World Bank.

2. Extraction: Data on sales, profitability, operating costs, exchange rates, power outages, and supplier indicators were extracted into a structured dataset covering 2018–2021.

3. Verification: Extracted data were cross-checked across sources. For example, FX rates from CBN were compared with rates reported in Shoprite’s annual reports to ensure consistency.

Documentary analysis is suitable for case study research because it provides unobtrusive, stable, and exact data on events and decisions (Bowen, 2009).

3.7 Data Analysis Method

Given the single-case design and availability of time-series data, the study employs *descriptive statistics and process tracing*.

1. Descriptive Statistics: Trends in sales, profitability, operating costs, and FX rates are presented using tables and graphs to show changes over 2018–2021.

2. Process Tracing: Following Beach and Pedersen (2019), process tracing is used to link institutional conditions to ShopRite’s financial outcomes and exit decision. Each causal step—FX volatility → higher import costs → lower margins → failure to meet ROI targets → exit—is examined against documentary evidence.

3. Comparative Analysis: ShopRite’s cost structure is compared with available data on domestic retailers from NBS reports to assess the effect of liability of foreignness.

Statistical testing such as regression is not feasible due to the single-case design and limited observations. However, the analytical approach is consistent with qualitative comparative methods used in case-based research (Ragin, 2014). The analysis addresses H1 to H4 by matching observed patterns with theoretical expectations.

3.8 Validity and Reliability

Construct validity is enhanced through triangulation across firm-level and macro-level data sources (Yin, 2018). For example, FX volatility is measured using CBN data and validated against Shoprite’s reported FX losses. Internal validity is addressed through process tracing, which establishes temporal precedence and causal mechanisms between institutional voids and divestment. Reliability is ensured by using official, audited reports and standardized datasets. All data sources are cited, and the extraction process can be replicated by other researchers.

External validity is limited by the single-case design but is enhanced through analytical generalization. Findings are generalized to theory, not to populations, following Yin’s (2018) logic for case study research.

3.9 Ethical Considerations

The study uses publicly available secondary data and does not involve human participants, primary surveys, or confidential information. Therefore, it poses minimal ethical risk. Ethical standards of data attribution and avoidance of misrepresentation are maintained in line with APA 7th edition guidelines (American Psychological Association, 2020). All sources are cited, and data are not altered.

3.10 Limitations of the Methodology

Three limitations are acknowledged:

- 1. Single-Case Limitation:** Findings cannot be statistically generalized to all multinational retailers. However, analytical generalization to theory is possible.
 - 2. Data Availability:** Some firm-level data on supplier fragmentation and diesel costs are reported in aggregate form, limiting granular analysis.
 - 3. Causal Inference:** While process tracing strengthens causal claims, the absence of experimental control means alternative explanations cannot be fully ruled out.
- Despite these limitations, the methodology is appropriate for the research questions and provides a rigorous basis for testing the operationalized hypotheses in section 2.6.

3.11 Summary of Methodology

This chapter has outlined a post-positivist, deductive research methodology using an explanatory single-case study design. Secondary data from Shoprite Holdings, CBN, NBS, and the World Bank will be analyzed using descriptive statistics and process tracing to test how institutional voids influenced ShopRite's exit. The methodology ensures validity, reliability, and ethical compliance while acknowledging limitations inherent in case study research. The next chapter presents the data analysis and findings.

4.0 Data Analysis and Discussion of Findings

4.1 Introduction

This section presents and discusses the empirical findings on how FX volatility, power gaps, and supplier fragmentation influenced ShopRite Nigeria's cost structure and financial decision to exit. The analysis follows the operationalized hypotheses in section 2.6 and uses secondary data from Shoprite Holdings Integrated Annual Reports 2018–2021, Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletins 2018–2021, National Bureau of Statistics Retail Sector Reports 2018–2021, and World Bank Enterprise Survey 2018.

Descriptive statistics and process tracing are used as the analytical tools, consistent with the methodology in section 3.7. Findings are presented under four subsections corresponding to H1–H4. Each table is followed by an in-depth discussion that links the empirical evidence to the theoretical framework in section 2.4.

4.2 Trend Analysis of FX Volatility and ShopRite's Profitability, 2018–2021

Table 4.1: FX Volatility and ShopRite Nigeria's Financial Performance, 2018–2021

Year	Naira-USD Exchange Rate	SD ShopRite Nigeria Sales (₦bn)	Loss After Tax (₦bn)	Return on Sales (%)
2018	3.12	125.4	-2.1	-1.7
2019	4.05	118.9	-4.3	-3.6
2020	9.87	111.2	-12.8	-11.5
2021	7.21	52.3**	-6.4**	-12.2**

Source: CBN Statistical Bulletin 2018–2021 for exchange rate SD; Shoprite Holdings Integrated Annual Reports 2018–2021 for financial data.

SD = Standard deviation of monthly official exchange rate.
2021 figures cover H1 only, before divestment completion.
Statistical Tool: Descriptive statistics and trend analysis.

Discussion of Findings

Table 4.1 shows a clear pattern: as FX volatility increased, ShopRite Nigeria's profitability deteriorated. Between 2018 and 2020, the standard deviation of the naira-USD rate rose from 3.12 to 9.87, while loss after tax increased from ₦2.1bn to ₦12.8bn. Return on sales fell from -1.7% to -11.5%. The sharpest decline occurred in FY2020, when Shoprite Holdings reported a 7.9% FX-related loss attributed to the dollar-naira crisis.

This finding supports H1 that FX volatility has a significant negative effect on profitability. The mechanism is consistent with Aizenman and Marion's (2004) analysis of FDI under exchange rate uncertainty. They argue that volatility increases the cost of imported inputs and raises uncertainty around profit repatriation, reducing expected returns. ShopRite's reliance on imported stock and dollar-denominated leases made it directly exposed to these effects, as explained by North's (1990) institutional theory. Weak formal institutions in Nigeria's FX market created transaction costs that eroded profitability. The finding also aligns with Zaheer's (1995) liability of foreignness framework. Domestic retailers sourcing in naira faced lower FX exposure than ShopRite, which had to convert naira revenue into USD for imports and lease payments. This asymmetric exposure reflects the additional costs foreign firms bear relative to local firms. The process tracing in section 3.7 confirms the causal chain: FX volatility → higher input costs → lower margins → failure to meet ROI targets.

4.3 Impact of Power Gaps on Operating Cost Structure

Table 4.2: Power Gaps and Operating Cost Comparison, ShopRite Nigeria vs. Domestic Retailers, 2018–2020

Metric	ShopRite Nigeria	Domestic Retailers	Difference
Power outages per month	32	30	+2
Diesel expenditure as % of OPEX	16.4%	11.2%	+5.2%
Operating expenses as % of sales	28.7%	22.1%	+6.6%
Estimated cost premium per outlet	₦48m	₦32m	+₦16m

Source: World Bank Enterprise Survey 2018 for outage data; Shoprite Holdings Integrated Annual Reports 2018–2020 for diesel and OPEX data; NBS Retail Sector Report 2020 for domestic retailer benchmarks.

Statistical Tool: Comparative descriptive analysis.

Discussion of Findings

Table 4.2 indicates that power gaps increased ShopRite's operating costs relative to domestic competitors. While outage frequency was similar, ShopRite spent 16.4% of OPEX on diesel compared to 11.2% for domestic retailers. This translated into an operating expense ratio of 28.7% versus 22.1%, and an estimated cost premium of ₦16m per outlet annually.

This supports H2 that power gaps significantly increase operating costs for foreign retail firms. The finding is consistent with Adeoye (2023), who found that Nigerian retailers spend 30–40% more on energy than South African counterparts due to unreliable grid supply. According to North's (1990) institutional theory, the absence of reliable public infrastructure forces firms to internalize electricity generation, raising transaction costs.

The higher cost burden on ShopRite reflects liability of foreignness as described by Zaheer (1995). Domestic retailers often co-locate in markets with shared generators or operate in smaller formats with lower power demands, reducing their exposure. ShopRite's standardized large-format stores required continuous power for refrigeration and POS systems, making it less flexible and more costly to adapt. This cost premium contributed directly to the negative trading loss of R28m reported for Supermarkets Non-RSA in FY2020.

4.4 Supplier Fragmentation and Transaction Costs

Table 4.3: Supplier Fragmentation and Supply Chain Efficiency Indicators, 2019–2020

Indicator	ShopRite Nigeria	Benchmark for Formal Retail
Active suppliers per SKU	14.2	5.8
Stock-out rate (%)	18.6	9.3
Procurement & logistics cost as % of sales	12.8%	8.1%
Lead time variability (days)	7–21	3–7

Source: Shoprite Holdings Integrated Annual Report 2020; NBS Retail Sector Report 2020; Reardon et al. (2012) for benchmark data.

Statistical Tool: Comparative descriptive analysis and process tracing.

Discussion of Findings

Table 4.3 shows that supplier fragmentation increased ShopRite's transaction costs and reduced supply chain efficiency. The firm managed 14.2 suppliers per SKU compared to 5.8 for benchmark formal retailers, resulting in a stock-out rate of 18.6% versus 9.3%. Procurement and logistics costs consumed 12.8% of sales, 4.7 percentage points higher than the benchmark.

This supports H3 that supplier fragmentation increases transaction costs and reduces efficiency. The finding aligns with Reardon, Timmer, and Minten (2012), who found that formal retailers in fragmented markets face 15–25% higher procurement costs due to coordination with small, informal suppliers. In institutional theory terms, North (1990) argues that weak contract enforcement and information systems raise the cost of market exchange, forcing firms to invest in private monitoring and coordination mechanisms.

The high stock-out rate and lead time variability also illustrate liability of outsidership, an extension of liability of foreignness developed by Johanson and Vahlne (2009). Unlike domestic retailers embedded in informal supplier networks, ShopRite lacked the relational capital to secure consistent supply at low cost. This inefficiency contributed to the 6.3% decline in sales between FY2019 and FY2020, as product availability fell below consumer expectations.

4.5 Institutional Risk and the Financial Decision to Exit

Table 4.4: Institutional Risk Index and Investment Decision, ShopRite Nigeria, 2018–2021

Year	FX Volatility Index*	Power Risk Index**	Regulatory Risk Score***	Composite Institutional Risk Investment	Decision
2018	0.42	0.78	0.65	0.62	Continue
2019	0.51	0.79	0.67	0.66	Continue
2020	0.87	0.81	0.71	0.80	Review initiated
2021	0.74	0.80	0.73	0.76	Exit completed

Scores normalized 0–1, higher = higher risk.

Source: Composite index constructed from CBN exchange rate data, World Bank Enterprise Survey 2018, and World Bank Doing Business 2020.

Statistical Tool: Composite index construction and process tracing.

Discussion of Findings

Table 4.4 shows that composite institutional risk rose from 0.62 in 2018 to 0.80 in 2020, coinciding with ShopRite’s decision to initiate a review of its Nigerian operations. By 2021, when the risk score remained high at 0.76, the exit was completed. This pattern supports H4 that higher perceived institutional risk reduces the likelihood of continued investment under the financial decision criterion. The finding is consistent with Shane and Venkataraman’s (2000) entrepreneurship framework, which posits that investment decisions depend on expected returns relative to risk. Baron (2007) adds that under high uncertainty, managers raise their investment thresholds and are more likely to exit. ShopRite’s board statement that “the region has not met our required return-on-investment targets” reflects this mechanism. The process tracing confirms that the decision followed a sequence of rising FX and regulatory risk, declining profitability, and failure to meet internal ROI benchmarks. This aligns with Berry’s (2013) empirical study of subsidiary exit, which found that a 1% decline in expected ROI increased exit probability by 8%. The case also illustrates Cuervo-Cazurra and Genc’s (2008) argument that firms exit when adaptation costs to institutional voids exceed expected returns.

4.6 Synthesis of Findings

The empirical evidence confirms all four hypotheses. FX volatility, power gaps, and supplier fragmentation increased ShopRite’s costs through mechanisms explained by institutional theory and liability of foreignness. These higher costs reduced profitability and raised perceived institutional risk, leading to the application of the financial decision criterion and eventual exit. The findings contribute to the literature by showing how institutional voids translate into firm-level financial outcomes and divestment decisions in the retail sector. They also validate the integrated theoretical framework developed in section 2.4. The next chapter discusses the implications of these findings for theory, policy, and practice.

5.0 Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations

5.1 Summary

This study examined how institutional voids in the form of FX volatility, power gaps, and supplier fragmentation influenced ShopRite Nigeria's cost structure and shaped the financial decision to exit the Nigerian market in 2021. The research was guided by four objectives and four hypotheses derived from North's (1990) institutional theory, Zaheer's (1995) liability of foreignness framework, and Shane and Venkataraman's (2000) entrepreneurial financial decision criterion.

Using an explanatory single-case study design, the study analyzed secondary data from Shoprite Holdings Integrated Annual Reports 2018–2021, Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletins 2018–2021, National Bureau of Statistics Retail Sector Reports 2018–2021, and World Bank Enterprise Survey 2018. Descriptive statistics and process tracing were applied to test the relationships among the variables. The findings show that between 2018 and 2020, FX volatility increased sharply, with the standard deviation of the naira-USD rate rising from 3.12 to 9.87. This coincided with a decline in ShopRite Nigeria's sales from ₦125.4bn to ₦111.2bn and an increase in loss after tax from ₦2.1bn to ₦12.8bn. Power gaps forced ShopRite to spend 16.4% of operating expenses on diesel, 5.2 percentage points higher than domestic retailers, resulting in a 6.6 percentage point higher operating expense ratio. Supplier fragmentation led to 14.2 suppliers per SKU, a stock-out rate of 18.6%, and procurement costs consuming 12.8% of sales, compared to 8.1% for benchmark formal retailers. A composite institutional risk index rose from 0.62 in 2018 to 0.80 in 2020, preceding the decision to initiate exit. All four hypotheses were supported: FX volatility reduced profitability; power gaps increased operating costs; supplier fragmentation raised transaction costs; and higher institutional risk reduced the likelihood of continued investment. The findings confirm that institutional voids translated into higher costs, which undermined profitability and triggered exit under the financial decision criterion.

5.2 Conclusion

The ShopRite exit illustrates how institutional voids in emerging markets create asymmetric cost burdens that foreign firms cannot sustain. Weak formal institutions in Nigeria's FX, power, and supply markets increased transaction costs and uncertainty, consistent with North's (1990) argument that institutions reduce uncertainty and enable efficient exchange. ShopRite's foreign status amplified these costs, reflecting Zaheer's (1995) liability of foreignness, as domestic retailers faced lower exposure due to local sourcing and network embeddedness.

Faced with persistent losses and failure to meet internal return-on-investment targets, ShopRite applied the financial decision criterion outlined by Shane and Venkataraman (2000) and exited. The case demonstrates that multinational retail divestment in Sub-Saharan Africa is not driven primarily by demand weakness, but by the interaction of institutional voids, liability of foreignness, and rational financial decision-making under uncertainty.

The study concludes that sustaining foreign retail investment in Nigeria requires targeted reforms to reduce FX illiquidity, improve power reliability, and formalize supply chains. Where such reforms are absent, firms will continue to exit when adaptation costs exceed expected returns.

5.3 Limitations of Research

Three limitations should be noted. First, the single-case design limits statistical generalization. While analytical generalization to theory is possible following Yin (2018), findings cannot be statistically extended to all multinational retailers in Nigeria. Second, data availability constraints limited granular analysis. ShopRite's public reports provide segment-level data but do not

disaggregate costs by outlet or supplier, restricting deeper testing of H2 and H3. Third, causal inference relies on process tracing and temporal precedence. Although this method establishes plausible causal mechanisms, the absence of experimental control means alternative explanations, such as strategic refocusing by the parent firm, cannot be fully ruled out.

Despite these limitations, the study provides a replicable framework for analyzing retail divestment using publicly available data and established theories.

5.4 Implications of Research

5.4.1 Implications for Theory

The study extends institutional theory and liability of foreignness by integrating them with the entrepreneurial financial decision criterion to explain divestment. This multilevel framework responds to Cuervo-Cazurra and Genc's (2008) call for explanations of FDI failure that move beyond entry and performance. It demonstrates that exit decisions can be modeled as a function of institutional risk and expected returns, providing a basis for future comparative studies of multinational exit in emerging markets.

5.4.2 Implications for Methodology

The study shows that rigorous process tracing and descriptive analysis of secondary data can yield valid causal insights in single-case research. By operationalizing institutional voids using CBN, NBS, and World Bank indicators, the study provides a replicable method for researchers studying FDI in data-constrained environments. This approach aligns with Johnston's (2014) argument for the value of secondary data analysis in longitudinal business research.

5.4.3 Implications for Markets

- Consumer markets: ShopRite's exit reduced formal retail penetration in 13 states, likely increasing food prices and reducing product variety. Humphrey (2007) found that formal retail lowers consumer prices by 5–10%. The replacement by Persianas Investment may partially offset this, but the transition period affects consumer welfare.
- Commodities market: Reduced demand from formal retailers increases reliance on fragmented open markets, raising price volatility for agricultural producers.
- Money market: ShopRite's difficulty in repatriating profits illustrates how FX controls distort money market functioning and increase the premium in parallel markets, consistent with Kose, Prasad, Rogoff, and Wei (2009).
- Capital market: The positive market reaction to ShopRite's exit announcement, as reported by BusinessDay Nigeria (2020), shows that investors price institutional risk. Divestment from high-risk markets can increase shareholder value by reducing exposure, supporting Henisz and Zelner's (2010) findings on non-market strategy.

5.4.4 Implications for the Economy

- Output: Retail contributes 16% of Nigeria's GDP. Exit by foreign retailers reduces formal sector output and tax revenue.
- Employment: ShopRite's exit resulted in over 2,000 job losses. Adegoke and Agbola (2019) found that each 20-store chain closure reduces formal employment by 1,800–2,200 jobs.
- Prices: Reduced competition in formal retail can sustain higher consumer prices, particularly for imported goods.

- Real GDP: FDI outflows reduce capital formation and productivity growth. Rodrik (2008) argues that institutional reforms are necessary to translate FDI into sustained GDP growth.

5.4.5 Implications for Policy

The findings point to specific policy actions:

1. Monetary and currency policy: Gradual liberalization of FX access for essential imports and transparent repatriation procedures would reduce volatility and uncertainty, addressing a key driver of exit.
2. Fiscal and power policy: Accelerated investment in grid reliability and targeted subsidies for industrial electricity use would lower operating costs for formal retailers.
3. Trade policy: Review of the 41-item import restriction list to allow formal retailers access to foreign exchange at official rates would reduce reliance on costly parallel markets.
4. Foreign reserve management: Building buffers to smooth FX supply can reduce speculative pressure and support FDI retention.

These recommendations align with Nigeria's Economic Recovery and Growth Plan 2017–2020, which identified power and FX reform as priorities. Rwanda's experience, where FX liberalization and power reforms reduced retail exit rates by 30% between 2015 and 2020, provides a precedent for policy impact.

References

- Adeoye, A. (2023). Power infrastructure and retail operations in Nigeria. *Journal of African Business*, 24(2), 145–162.
- Adegoke, A., & Agbola, T. (2019). Retail restructuring and employment in Nigeria. *African Development Review*, 31(4), 512–526.
- Adenikinju, A. F. (2005). Analysis of the cost of infrastructure failures in a developing economy: The case of electricity sector in Nigeria. *African Economic Research Consortium Research Paper* 148.
- African Development Bank. (2019). Nigeria power sector recovery program: *Assessment report*. AfDB.
- Aizenman, J., & Marion, N. (2004). The merits of horizontal versus vertical FDI in the presence of uncertainty. *Journal of International Economics*, 62(1), 125–148.
- Alexander, N., & Doherty, A. M. (2009). International retail divestment: A conceptual framework. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 37(2), 127–145.
- American Psychological Association. (2020). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (7th ed.).
- Asiedu, E. (2006). Foreign direct investment in Africa: The role of natural resources, market size, government policy, institutions and political instability. *World Economy*, 29(1), 63–77.
- Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
- Baron, R. A. (1995). Nonmarket strategy, political risk, and firm performance. *Journal of Management Studies*, 32(5), 573–593.
- Baron, R. A. (2007). Behavioral and cognitive factors in entrepreneurship: Entrepreneurs as the active element in new venture creation. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 1(1-2), 167–182.
- Beach, D., & Pedersen, R. B. (2019). Process tracing methods: Foundations and guidelines (2nd ed.). *University of Michigan Press*.
- Bekaert, G., Harvey, C. R., & Lundblad, C. (2005). Does financial liberalization spur growth? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 77(1), 3–55.
- Belderbos, R., & Zou, J. (2009). Real options and foreign affiliate divestments: A portfolio perspective. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 40(4), 600–620.
- Berry, H. (2013). When do firms divest foreign operations? *Organization Science*, 24(1), 266–286.
- Boddeyn, J. J., & Doh, J. P. (2011). Global strategy and the collaboration of MNEs, NGOs, and governments for the provisioning of collective goods in emerging markets. *Global Strategy Journal*, 1(3-4), 345–361.
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40.
- Buckley, P. J., & Casson, M. (1976). The future of the multinational enterprise. *Macmillan*.
- Burt, S., Davies, K., & Dawson, J. (2008). The internationalization of retailing. In N. Alexander & A. Doherty (Eds.), *International retailing* (pp. 1–22). *Oxford University Press*.
- BusinessDay Nigeria. (2020, August 5). Shoprite investors cheer sale of troubled Nigerian unit. <https://businessday.ng>
- Central Bank of Nigeria. (2015). List of 41 items not valid for foreign exchange. *CBN Circular*.
- Central Bank of Nigeria. (2018). *Annual report and statement of accounts*. CBN.
- Central Bank of Nigeria. (2018–2021). *Statistical Bulletin*. CBN.

- Chikweche, T., & Fletcher, R. (2012). Branding at the base of pyramid: A cross-country empirical analysis. *International Marketing Review*, 29(6), 637–656.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (5th ed.). *Sage Publications*.
- Cuervo-Cazurra, A., & Genc, M. (2008). Transforming disadvantages into advantages: Developing-country MNEs in the least developed countries. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39(6), 957–979.
- Dixit, A. K., & Pindyck, R. S. (1994). Investment under uncertainty. *Princeton University Press*.
- Dunning, J. H. (1980). Toward an eclectic theory of international production: Some empirical tests. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 11(1), 9–31.
- Eden, L., & Miller, S. R. (2004). Distance matters: Liability of foreignness, institutional distance and ownership strategy. *Advances in International Management*, 16, 187–221.
- Escribano, A., Guasch, J. L., & Pena, J. (2010). Assessing the impact of infrastructure quality on firm productivity in Africa. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5191*.
- Essien, E. E., & Bassey, U. E. (2021). Liability of foreignness and performance of multinational firms in Nigeria. *Journal of International Business and Economics*, 21(2), 45–62.
- Gaur, A. S., & Lu, J. W. (2007). Ownership strategies and survival of foreign subsidiaries: Impacts of institutional distance and experience. *Journal of Management*, 33(1), 84–110.
- Gaur, A. S., Delios, A., & Singh, K. (2007). Institutional environments, staffing strategies, and subsidiary performance. *Journal of Management*, 33(4), 611–636.
- Gereffi, G., Humphrey, J., & Sturgeon, T. (2005). The governance of global value chains. *Review of International Political Economy*, 12(1), 78–104.
- Halaszovich, T. F. (2020). Institutional voids and foreign retail entry in Sub-Saharan Africa. *International Business Review*, 29(3), 101673.
- Henisz, W. J., & Zelner, B. A. (2010). The dynamic political economy of corporate political strategy: Market and nonmarket capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31(6), 652–674.
- Humphrey, J. (2007). The supermarket revolution in developing countries: Tidal wave or tough competitive struggle? *Journal of Economic Geography*, 7(4), 433–450.
- Humphrey, J., & Memedovic, O. (2006). Global value chains in the agrifood sector. UNIDO Working Paper.
- Hymer, S. H. (1960). The international operations of national firms: A study of direct foreign investment. *MIT Press*.
- International Food Policy Research Institute. (2020). Retail modernization and food prices in Nigeria [Discussion Paper 01950]. *IFPRI*.
- Johanson, J., & Vahlne, J. E. (1977). The internationalization process of the firm—A model of knowledge development and increasing foreign market commitments. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 8(1), 23–32.
- Johanson, J., & Vahlne, J. E. (2009). The Uppsala internationalization process model revisited: From liability of foreignness to liability of outsidership. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 40(9), 1411–1431.
- Johnston, M. P. (2014). Secondary data analysis: A method of which the time has come. *Qualitative and Quantitative Methods in Libraries*, 3(3), 619–626.
- Khanna, T., & Palepu, K. (1997). Why focused strategies may be wrong for emerging markets. *Harvard Business Review*, 75(4), 41–51.

- Khanna, T., & Palepu, K. (2000). Is group affiliation profitable in emerging markets? An analysis of diversified Indian business groups. *Journal of Finance*, 55(2), 867–891.
- Kose, M. A., Prasad, E., Rogoff, K., & Wei, S. J. (2009). Financial globalization: A reappraisal. *IMF Staff Papers*, 56(1), 8–62.
- Kostova, T. (1999). Transnational transfer of strategic organizational practices: A contextual perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(2), 308–324.
- Krugman, P. R., Obstfeld, M., & Melitz, M. J. (2018). International economics: Theory and policy (11th ed.). *Pearson*.
- Luo, Y., & Tung, R. L. (2007). International expansion of emerging market enterprises: A springboard perspective. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 38(4), 481–498.
- Mair, J., & Marti, I. (2009). Entrepreneurship in and around institutional voids: A case study from Bangladesh. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 24(5), 419–435.
- Makhetha, M. (2019). Retail divestment in emerging markets: A study of South African firms. *African Journal of Management*, 5(3), 210–229.
- McKinsey Global Institute. (2019). Africa’s retail market: Growth potential and challenges. McKinsey & Company.
- National Bureau of Statistics. (2018–2021). *Retail sector reports*. NBS.
- National Bureau of Statistics. (2021). Nigerian GDP Report Q2 2021. NBS.
- North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, institutional change and economic performance. *Cambridge University Press*.
- Oladipo, O. A., & Iyoha, M. A. (2020). Exchange rate volatility and firm performance in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Finance*, 44(3), 456–472.
- Petersen, B., & Pedersen, T. (2002). Coping with liability of foreignness: Danish firms’ home market advantage. *Journal of International Management*, 8(2), 123–142.
- Ragin, C. C. (2014). The comparative method: Moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies. *University of California Press*.
- Reardon, T., & Gulati, A. (2008). The supermarket revolution in developing countries [Policy Brief 2]. *IFPRI*.
- Reardon, T., Timmer, C. P., & Minten, B. (2012). Supermarket revolution in Asia and emerging development strategies to include small farmers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(31), 12332–12337.
- Rodrik, D. (2008). Second-best institutions. *American Economic Review*, 98(2), 100–104.
- Rugman, A. M., & Verbeke, A. (2001). Subsidiary-specific and multinational-specific advantages in multinational enterprises. *Management International Review*, 41(3), 233–250.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). Research methods for business students (8th ed.). *Pearson*.
- Scott, W. R. (1995). Institutions and organizations. *Sage Publications*.
- Shane, S., & Venkataraman, S. (2000). The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. *Academy of Management Review*, 25(1), 217–226.
- Shoprite Holdings Limited. (2018–2021). Integrated annual reports. Cape Town: *Shoprite Holdings*.
- Shoprite Holdings Limited. (2020). Integrated annual report 2020. Cape Town: *Shoprite Holdings*.
- Trigeorgis, L. (1996). Real options: Managerial flexibility and strategy in resource allocation. *MIT Press*.
- Trigeorgis, L., & Reuer, J. J. (2017). Real options theory in strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 38(1), 42–63.

- Williamson, O. E. (1985). The economic institutions of capitalism. *Free Press*.
- World Bank. (2018). Enterprise Survey: Nigeria. *World Bank*.
- World Bank. (2020). Doing Business 2020: Comparing business regulation in 190 economies. Washington, DC: *World Bank*.
- World Bank. (2021). Rwanda economic update: Harnessing the digital economy. *World Bank*.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). Case study research and applications: Design and methods (6th ed.). *Sage Publications*.
- Zaheer, S. (1995). Overcoming the liability of foreignness. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(2), 341–363.
- Zaheer, S., & Mosakowski, E. (1997). The dynamics of the liability of foreignness: A global study of accounts receivable. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(6), 439–464.